

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/923,936	08/07/2001	Eric Romanski	2126-179	5169	
20999 7	7590 05/28/2004		EXAMINER		
FROMMER LAWRENCE & HAUG 745 FIFTH AVENUE- 10TH FL.			WRIGHT, ANDREW D		
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617		
			DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	DATE MAILED: 05/28/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/923,936	ROMANSKI ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew Wright	3617				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varieto reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed /s will be considered timely. It the mailing date of this communication. ID (35 U.S.C.§ 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 M</u>	<u>larch 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>5-13</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
_	Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) 4 is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO 412)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				
	rademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hawthorne et al. (US 2,997,973) in view of McCullough, Jr. et al. (US 4,897,303). Hawthorne discloses flexible barge that comprises a tubular structure. The tubular structure is elongated (column 2, lines 15-16). The structure is flexible and made of fabric (column 1, lines 20-22). The fabric has a first inside and a second outside. The structure has front and rear ends (figure 2). The vessel comprises means (12) for sealing the ends. The vessel comprises means (20) for emptying and filling. Hawthorne discloses that the fabric is proofed to make it impervious (column 1, lines 68-72). Hawthorne discloses that the buoyancy is supplied by the liquid contents of the vessel. Hawthorne does not disclose a thermoset or thermoplastic coating that renders the fabric buoyant. McCullough discloses coating fibers of an article with a thermoset or thermoplastic coating for the purpose of providing buoyancy (column 1, lines 10-13). Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Hawthrone by adding the coating taught by McCullough. The motivation would be to enhance the buoyancy of the fabric so that it

Application/Control Number: 09/923,936

Art Unit: 3617

could be used with fluidisable cargo that does not provide sufficient buoyancy on its own.

- 3. Regarding claim 2, Hawthorne discloses the fabric is woven. The woven fabric will necessarily have sides formed by stitching points.
- 4. Regarding claim 3, the woven fabric of Hawthorne contains yarns and voids.

 McCullough teaches curing the thermoplastic coating. The thermoplastic coating of the modified invention will therefore fill the voids.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 4 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art does not teach or suggest the claimed combination specifically comprising the two different thermoplastic coatings that render the fabric buoyant.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-4 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Lederman (US 3,885,077) discloses a fabric coated with rubber compound with fillers to give an overall density of less than 0.99.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3617

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to examiner Andrew D. Wright at telephone number (703) 308-6841. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday from 9:00 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, S. Joe Morano, can be reached at (703) 308-0230. The fax number for official communications is 703-872-9306. The fax number directly to the examiner for unofficial communications is 703-746-3548.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

Art Unit: 3617

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew D. Wright Patent Examiner Art Unit 3617 s/21/oct